Neutron Fields around the LNL CN accelerator and the JRC Ispra's Cyclotron measured with BSS

L. Sarchiapone, D. Zafiropoulos

Laboratori Nazionali di Legnaro, Padova, Italy Federica Simonelli, Antonio Bulgheroni, Giulio Cotogno, Uwe Holzwarth, Gianfranco Minchillo, Daniele Giuffrida

European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Via E. Fermi 2479, 21027 Ispra (VA), Italy

Introduction

Neutron spectrometry based on multispheres' system (Bonner Spheres System) is commonly used by many laboratories because of its almost isotropic response, wide energy range and easy operational approach. The LNL Bonner Sphere Spectrometer, based on a ⁶Lil(Eu) scintillator and 7 moderating spheres, coupled to the unfolding code FRUIT 6.0 [1] (providing response functions calculated with the Monte Carlo code FLUKA [2] and [3]) was used to achieve neutron spectra generated by the interaction of protons of various energies with suitable targets. The CN Van de Graaff accelerator can deliver up to 7 MeV protons meanwhile the JRC Ispra cyclotron covers the range up to 40 MeV. At all irradiations, corrections of the backscattered neutrons were made using appropriate shadow cones. Using the FLUKA code, reference neutron spectra have been obtained in realistic irradiation conditions. These spectra and those obtained by the unfolding procedure have been compared. All spectra are reproduced at 0° angle. Neutron ambient dose equivalent has been evaluated, as well, using FLUKA and FRUIT and also measured using a portable rem counter.



X-Ray radiography of the detector probe for realistic geometry used in FLUKA simulations







neutrons of 0.57 MeV ⁷LiF(n.n) at 2.3 MeV



Protons of 3.8 MeV on 7LiF thick target $(700 \,\mu g/cm^2)$.

Expected production of monoeneraetic neutrons of 2.12 MeV I ilian n) at 3 8 Mal



Protons of 5.7 MeV on ⁷LiF thick target (700 µg/cm²)

production Expected monoenergetic of neutrons of 4.03 MeV



Protons of 30 MeV on 2 mm thick ⁷LiF target (backing 12 mm graphite).

Expected production of quasi monoenergetic neutrons of 28 MeV. The interaction of protons with carbon produces spectra edges about 20 MeV lower than the proton energy



Protons of 39 MeV on a sintered thick SiC target

| | Reaction | Q-Value | Threshold |
|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | Products | Products MeV | |
| p + ²⁸ Si | $^{28}P + n$ | -15.11425 | 15.65872 |
| | ${}^{27}Si + n + p$ | -17.17982 | 17.79870 |
| | $^{26}Al + n + 2p$ | -24.64294 | 25.53067 |
| | $^{24}Al+n+\alpha \\$ | -24.64521 | 25.53302 |
| | $^{23}Mg+n+p+\alpha \\$ | -26.51657 | 27,47179 |
| | $^{26}Si + n + d$ | -28.26648 | 29.28473 |
| p + ¹² C | $^{12}N + n$ | -18.12058 | 19.64245 |
| | ${}^{11}C + n + p$ | -18.72200 | 20.29438 |

| | FLUKA | FRUIT | Rem Counter |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------------|
| H*(10) (µSv/µC) | 18.2 | 7.5 | 20.8 |
| | | | |



Protons of 35 MeV on 2 mm thick ⁷LiF target (backing 12 mm graphite).

Expected production of quasi monoenergetic neutrons of 33 MeV Reaction O-Value Threshold



CONCLUSIONS

A BSS using FRUIT, with FLUKA response functions, as unfolding code gives reliable responses for the measurement of workplace spectra aimed at neutron dose assessment. The neutron spectra obtained unfolding the sphere's readings, in particular with monoenergetic neutrons, is in good agreement with those obtained with FLUKA, which simulated the real experimental set up. Both reproduce quite well the expected spectra. Neutron H*(10) comparison shows -up to 30 MeV proton energy- a very good agreement (in the order of 15%) between FRUIT and direct measurement. At higher energies investigated, significant errors probably are due to poor a priori information or bad resolution of the response functions.

REFERENCES

R. Bedogni et al., Nucl.Instr. And Meth. A580, 1301 – 1309
A. Fasso` et al.: "Fluka: a multi-particle transport code". CERN-2005-10 (2005).

 G. Battistoni, et.al.: "The FLUKA code: Description and benchmarking", Proceedings of the Hadronic Shower Simulation Workshop 2006, Fermilab 6–8 September 2006, AIP Conference Proceeding 896, 31-49, (2007).