# A study of radioactive and nonradioactive aerosol behaviour P. Otahal<sup>1</sup>, J. Vosahlik<sup>1</sup>, I. Burian<sup>1</sup>, L. Nemecek<sup>1</sup>, V. Zdimal<sup>2</sup>, J. Ondracek<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The National Institute of Nuclear, Chemical and Biological Protection, v.v.i., Kamenna 71, 26231, Milin, Czech Republic <sup>2</sup>Institute of Chemical Process Fundamentals of the ASCR, v.v.i., Rozvojova 135, 16502 Prague 6, Czech Republic

otahal@sujchbo.cz

### INTRODUCTION

Aerosols belong to the most dangerous forms of radioactive and nuclear substances, due to their ability to spread. Radioactive aerosols are liquid or solid particles suspended in air with diameters ranging from 0.001 to 100 microns; these particles are either formed by a radioactive material or the radioactive material is attached to the surface of a non-radioactive particle.

#### RESULTS

The main objective of the experiments was to compare the behaviour of aerosol in a field of ionizing radiation generated by <sup>85</sup>Kr and the behaviour of aerosol particles in an environment of extreme concentrations of radon. The results of these experiments were compared with the behaviour of non-radioactive aerosols. Aerosol particles were generated in three - different size classes characterized by the size mode (50 nm, 180 nm and 2  $\mu$ m). Experimental results are summarized in the following figures (see figure 3, 4).



Fig. 1: Experimental set-up during injection of aerosol particles to the radon-aerosol chamber

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiments were carried out in a radon-aerosol chamber at SÚJCHBO (see figure 1). This chamber is a device for studying physical behaviour of radioactive and non-radioactive aerosols. The inner atmosphere of the radon-aerosol chamber is separated from the ambient atmosphere by a sophisticated air-exchange system which enables to perform the experiments at pre-defined climatic and ventilation conditions [1].

Different aerosol atmospheres were produced by three aerosol generators. Figure 2 demonstrates a common aerosol spectrum generated by a CW (Carnauba Wax) generator. The summary of main parameters of the generated particles is presented at the table 1.



Fig. 3: The time course of the total concentration of aerosol particles in the chamber for different types of aerosol particles





Туре	Carnauba wax	Ammonium	DEHS
		sulfate	on NaCl
Indication	C W	A S	DEHS
Type of generator	Carnauba wax	AGK2000	M A G 3 0 0 0
Mode of size			
distribution	180	50	2000
[ n m ]			
GSD	1,6	1,2	1,6

Tab. 1: Summary information about generating aerosol particles

Particle number size distribution in the size range 10 nm - 750 nm were measured by a Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer (SMPS 3934). It consists of two parts: an Electrostatic Classifier (EC - 3071) and by a Condensation Particle Counter (CPC 3025). An Aerodynamic Particle Sizer Spectrometer (APS - 3321) determined the size distribution over the complementary size range of 500 nm - 20  $\mu$ m.

Airborne radioactivity after sampling was measured by a Canberra multichannel alpha-spectrometer (Model 7401 VR). Photon dose estimation was determined by a portable multichannel gama-spectrometer Falcon (Model 5000).

Radon and its short lived decay products were used as an inner radioactive source and a cartridge with a <sup>85</sup>Kr was used as a point source of ionization radiation.



of aerosol particles

Plate-out rate of total concentration of aerosol particles depends on the type of aerosol particles. In some cases particles were influenced by radon (concentration about 1.5 MBq.m<sup>-3</sup>). The dose rate coresponding to this concentration is approximately  $3 \mu$ Gy/s. Most of the energy the alpha particle is absorbed in the mass of air. Taking in to account number concentrations of about 5000 particles per cm<sup>-3</sup>, the ratio between the mass of aerosol particles to the air mass is around  $3.3E^{-6}$ . Flowig through <sup>85</sup>Kr neutralizer, the aerosol stream was irradiated by 15  $\mu$ Sv. When we compared the behaviour of aerosol particles and different exposer (Figure 4) almost no difference has been found. The same conclusion holds for the decrease of the total concentration (see Fig. 5).



Fig. 5: The time course of the total concentration of aerosol particles in the chamber for ammonium sulphate with / without Kr85 neutralizer and / without the presence of radon

### CONCLUSION

Fig. 2: Demonstration of reproducibility of aerosol production by CW generator during preliminary tests

## THEORETICAL BASIS

A number of processes contribute to the charging of aerosol particles: static electrification, photoemission, thermionic emission, charging by small gas ions, and <u>self-charging of radioactive aerosols</u> [2]. There are two possibilities: in one case the radioactive material is embedded into an aerosol particle. In the second case the radioactivity is on the surphace of nonactive particle. There is another possibility to redistribute the charge: using a flux of photons (from "outside" of the particle). Processes such as coagulation and/or deposition may be significantly affected by redistribution of electrostatic charge of aerosol particles.

In this work, we studied physical behaviour of radioactive and nonradioactive aerosols. The experiments were carried out in a radonaerosol chamber at SUJCHBO. Three types of aerosol were generated – CW, AS and DEHS. The aerosol was filled into the chamber either as it was generated, or after charge neutralization by <sup>85</sup>Kr. In part of the experiments, the aerosol in the chamber was mixed with Rn and its short lived decay products. In other experiments it was exposed to an elevated photon stream.

It has been found that: irradiations were performed by high concentration of radon (and its decay products). In this case the non-radioactive aerosols was of course covered by radioactivity.

In the second case an elevated photon stream was used. One can predict a difference in the of behaviour of aerosols when the aerosol was charged by irraditation "from outside". Hoewer, we did not observed any mode change or an increasing plate-out.

# REFERENCES

[1] Burian I., Otahal P., Vosahlik J., Pilecka E. (2011): Czech primary radon measurement equipment, Radiation Protection Dosimetry, Vol. 145, No. 2-3, pp. 333-336

[2] Baron A. P., Willeke K. (2001): Electrical Techniques. In Aerosol Measurement: Principles, Techniques, and Applications, Wiley, New York, pp. 540-542

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: A pilot study of behaviour of radioactive and nonradioactive aerosol particles was supported by the project of the Czech Ministry of the Interior No. Vf20112015013 - Research of modern methods of detection and identification of hazardous CBRN substances and materials, methods of hazard reduction and decontamination; research of modern method for personal protection and elements of critical infrastructure. The authors would like to thank Prof. R. Holub for his valuable help and knowledge support in the theory of radioactive aerosol.