Type Testing of a Harshaw<sup>TM</sup> EXTRAD Extremity Dosemeter with PTFE Filter for Measuring Dose to the Lens of the Eye in Terms of  $H_p(3)$ 



# S Baker, N Gibbens, G Roberts, L Hager and P Gilvin

Health Protection Agency Centre for Radiation, Chemical and Environmental Hazards Chilton Didcot Oxon OX11 ORQ UK

#### Introduction

The Health Protection Agency for some years held approval to use its body TLD as an eye dosemeter for photons  $only^{(1)}$ . The TLD is worn on the collar. It does not measure  $H_p(3)$  directly but uses an average of the  $H_p(0.07)$  and  $H_p(10)$  readings. Such usage relies on uniformity of the photon field in the vicinity of the head.

Recent developments in understanding have led the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP)<sup>(2)</sup> to recommend a dose limit of 20 mSv in a year, averaged over defined periods of 5 years, with no single year exceeding 50 mSv. We therefore anticipate a need for an eye lens dosemeter that will

#### Results

The results show that the performance of this eye lens dosemeter is good, for both photon and beta radiations.

Health

Agency

Protection



measure  $H_{n}(3)$  in uniform and non-uniform photon and beta fields.

The new design of eye dosemeter is based on a modified headband, using the Harshaw<sup>TM</sup> EXTRAD TLD element. This uses LiF:Mg,Cu,P which is tissue equivalent, and a PTFE filter of a tissue equivalent thickness of 3 mm, so enabling the measurement of  $H_p(3)$ . This approach ensures that the dosemeter correctly measures the quantity in all fields and all mixtures of fields.

The tests were based on the ISO 12794<sup>(3)</sup> standard and included energy and angular dependence of response for photons and betas. All were done on an ORAMED-designed cylindrical head phantom<sup>(4)</sup> that we had built for this purpose. We used conversion coefficients for  $H_p(3)/K_a$  (where  $K_a$  is air kerma) that were derived from the monoenergetic values calculated for the same ORAMED project<sup>(5)</sup>.



## Beta Energy Response (0°)

The relative response for <sup>90</sup>Sr/<sup>90</sup>Y is 1.10 and, as expected, there was zero response for <sup>85</sup>Kr. (Beta radiations from this radionuclide do not pass through 3 mm of tissue).



#### Conclusion

This headband using the Harshaw<sup>™</sup> EXTRAD TLD element with a PTFE filter of a tissue equivalent of 3 mm would be suitable to use in the HPA's approved dosimetry service. A potential enhancement to the design would be to improve the angle dependence of the beta response by making it more symmetric.

## References

- (1) Gilvin et al, Type Testing of a new TLD for the UK Health Protection Agency
- Radiation Protection Dosimetry Vol. 128, No. 1, pp. 36–42 (2008).
- (2) ICRP Statement on Tissue Reactions, April (2011).
- (3) International Organization for Standardization. ISO 12794:2000 Nuclear Energy Radiation Protection – Individual Thermoluminescence Dosemeters for the Extremities and Eyes. ISO (2000).
- (4) Gualdrini G et al. Eye lens dosimetry: Task 2 within the ORAMED project. Radiation Protection Dosimetry Vol. 144, No. 1-4, pp. 473-477 (2011).
- (5) Daures J et al. Monte Carlo determination of the conversion coefficients Hp(3)/Ka in a right cylinder phantom with 'Penelope' code. Comparison with 'MCNP' simulations. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, Vol. 144, No. 1-4, pp. 37-42 (2011).



