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Living with Radiation – Engaging with Society
Why Is This Work Needed?

• Well recognized in human radiation protection that different radiation types vary in the degree of biological impact for the same absorbed dose

• Can this insight be used developing dose factors for plants and animals?
  • Yes and no.....

• This presentation provides an overview of ICRP C5 TG effort on analysis of available RBE studies in the context of impact to animals and plants
Overview

• RBE measurement and calculations
• Stochastic effects
• Deterministic effects
• Summary of data
• Challenges
The Relative Biological Effectiveness of radiation $A$ with respect to the *reference radiation* $R$ is defined as the ratio of an absorbed dose $D$, in a tissue to the dose $DA$ that causes a quantitatively and qualitatively equal effect.

$$\text{RBE} = \frac{D}{DA}$$

Each RBE value derived from a set of observations for tissue cultures or for responses of tissues, in animals or in man, refers to a *defined end-point*, produced under a specified set of exposure conditions.
Reference Radiation

- Gamma rays
  - from $^{137}$Cs or
  - $^{60}$Co and
- 250 kVp x-rays are common reference radiation

- Overall, RBE for 250 kVp x-rays relative to $^{137}$Cs or $^{60}$Co ~ 2

- Reference radiation is important
Experimental determination

• RBE values mostly derived for large doses and high dose rates,
• Need to extrapolate to low doses and low dose rates for environmental protection purposes.

• Estimation of RBE for two conditions:
  • RBE_M for *stochastic* effects, and
  • RBE_m for *deterministic* effects
• Which are determined by radiation quality and end-points
Biological Endpoints

• Stochastic:
  • Chromosomal aberrations, induction of DNA double strand breaks (DSB), mutation and chromosome breaks
• Deterministic
  • Survival, acute skin injury, cell death and tissue impairment
Factors Influencing RBE

RBE depends on / confounded by many factors:

- Linear energy transfer (LET)
- Dose and dose rate
- Micro-dosimetry,
  - non-uniform distribution of radionuclide in tissues/organisms
- Cell or tissue under consideration
- Endpoint
- Repair capability
- ... etc.
Linear Energy Transfer (LET)

- The amount of energy absorbed by the target tissue per unit of path length;
  - Usually keV/μm travelled in water
- Alpha particles
  - ~ 4-6 MeV
  - LETs ~ 100 keV/μm
- Low LET radiations
  - X-rays, gamma rays or electrons
  - LET ~3.5 keV/μm or less
Visualization of a 10 MeV α-particle crossing a chromatin fiber

http://www.helmholtz-muenchen.de/en/iss/radiation-risk/research-topics/biophysical-models/index.html
Dose Dependence of RBE vs LET

RBE vs. LET and Dose for Proliferative Capacity of Cultured Human Cells

Fraction surviving

1. 0.8
2. 0.1
3. 0.01

After Barendsen, 1968
RBE Calculation for High LET radiation

- High LET Test Radiation
- Low LET Reference Radiation

Biological Effect vs. Dose
RBE Calculation for High LET radiation at High Dose

\[ \text{RBE}_{\text{high}} = \frac{D_4}{D_3} = 2 \]
RBE Calculation for High LET radiation at Low dose

\[ \text{RBE}_{\text{low}} = \frac{D_2}{D_1} = 5 \]
Dose Response and RBE
- Example

After Nagasawa et al., 1990

Number of Chromosome Aberrations per Cell

Dose (cGy)

Alpha Particles
10T\(\frac{1}{2}\) cells
3T3 cells

RBE ≈ 5.5
Finding RBE at Low Doses ($RBE_m$)

For high LET (α), dose response is linear; i.e.,

$$E_H = a_H D_H$$

For Low LET (b, x rays), dose response is linear quadratic; i.e.,

$$E_L = a_L D_L + b_L D_L^2$$
“Issue”: RBE varies as a function of dose*

**RBE values approach a maximum at ~ 0.1 Gy**

*Example of RBE for 15 MeV alpha particles derived from cell survival data

*after ICRP 58 and 92*
Solution: Measure or Calculate $RBE_m$

$$a_L D_L + b_L D_L^2 = a_H D_H$$

$$RBE_m = \frac{\alpha_H}{\alpha_L} \approx RBE(D_H) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{D_L}{\left(\frac{\alpha_L}{\beta_L}\right)}\right)$$

After ICRP 58 and 92
RBE<sub>m</sub> for Deterministic Effects

RBE<sub>m</sub> values approach RBE<sub>m</sub> at doses 10<sup>-1</sup> Gy of x-rays; derived from survival curves, after ICRP 58
Deterministic Effects

• Distinguishing characteristics
  • Incidence and severity increase as a function of dose above a threshold

• Other names
  • Normal tissue effects (clinicians)
  • Non cancer mortality (RERF)
  • Non-stochastic effects

• Categorization
  • Early
  • Late effects

• Major mode is cell killing
  • Death at mitosis (not necessarily first mitosis)
  • Also possibly interphase death (minor component)
Purpose of Task Group

• Review scientific literature on RBE
  • Stochastic effects
  • Deterministic effects
• Exclude data on “exotic” particles and energies – unless LET similar to alpha or beta
• Considered:
  • Alphas, fission neutrons, tritium
• Recommend dose-modifying value(s)
  • Relevant for non-human biota
Overview

- Hundreds of papers identified
- Many rejected
  - Dosimetry concerns
  - Radiation type not relevant
  - Not possible to calculate $RBE_m$ or $RBE_M$

- Ultimately, would have liked more
# Summary of Alpha Papers on Non-Human Biota (Deterministic and Stochastic Endpoints)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>In-Vitro Studies</th>
<th>Endpoints</th>
<th>Nº Papers</th>
<th>Test Models</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell Survival</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>C3H10T1/2 mouse cells, V79-379A Chinese Hamster Cells, Fischer F344 rats and Swiss Webster mice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DNA Damage and DNA Double Strand Breaks</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Ehrlich Ascites Tumor Cells, C3H10T1/2 mouse cells, V79-379A Chinese Hamster Cells, and Swiss Webster mice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chromosomal Aberrations</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>C3H10T1/2 mouse cells, Chinese Hamster Cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cell Transformations</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Fischer F344 rats, C3H10T1/2 mouse cells, and Golden Syrian Hamster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Vivo / Ex Vivo Studies</td>
<td>Effect on haemopoietic tissue</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mice (C57B16 and C57B1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tumor Induction</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Beagles, Mice and Wistar Rats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organ/Tissue Effects</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Rats and Zebrafish</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Summary of Alpha RBE Papers (Deterministic & Stochastic Endpoints)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RBE Range</th>
<th>Classification of Endpoints</th>
<th>In-Vitro</th>
<th>In-Vivo /Ex-Vivo</th>
<th>Nº</th>
<th>Test Models</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 - 4</td>
<td>Cell Survival, DNA Damage and Double Strand Breaks, Chromosomal Aberrations and Cell Transformations</td>
<td>Tumor Induction, Organ/Tissue Effects</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>C3H10T1/2 mouse cells, V79-379A Chinese Hamster Cells, Fischer F344 rats and Swiss Webster mice; Beagles, Mice, Wistar Rats and Zebrafish</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - 10</td>
<td>Cell Survival, DNA Damage and Double Strand Breaks, Chromosomal Aberrations and Cell Transformations</td>
<td>Tumor Induction, Organ/Tissue Effects</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Ehrlich Ascites Tumor Cells, C3H10T1/2 mouse cells, V79-379A Chinese Hamster Cells, and Swiss Webster mice, Beagles, Mice and Wistar Rats</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 - 20</td>
<td>DNA Double Strand Breaks, and Chromosomal Aberrations</td>
<td>Tumor Induction</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>C3H10T1/2 mouse cells, Chinese Hamster Cells Beagles, Mice and Wistar Rats</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤25 (+/-8)</td>
<td>Chromosomal Aberrations</td>
<td>Effect on haemopoietic tissue and Tumor Induction</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Fischer F344 rats, C3H10T1/2 mouse cells, and Golden Syrian Hamster, Beagles, Mice and Wistar Rats</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Alpha RBE Papers with Population Relevant, Deterministic Endpoints

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RBE Range</th>
<th>Population Relevant Deterministic Endpoints</th>
<th>LET Range (Kev/μm)</th>
<th>N°</th>
<th>Test Models</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 - 4</td>
<td>In-Vitro: Embryonic cell, survival/mortality, Ovary cell survival</td>
<td>77-200</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Golden Hamster, Mouse, Male Swiss Webster mice, Chinese Hamster Embryo cells, Mouse Embryo cells, Chinese Hamster.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In-Vivo/Ex-Vivo: Reproductive performance (e.g. size of litter, post-natal survival and amount of time it takes female to become sterile), Oocyte Survival, Sperm survival</td>
<td>100-130*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - 10</td>
<td>In-Vitro: Testicular Abnormalities, Embryonic Cell survival/mortality, sperm abnormality.</td>
<td>90-142</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Mice (B6CF1), Swiss Webster mice, Golden Syrian Hamster, C3H 10T1/2 mouse embryo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In-Vivo/Ex-Vivo: Testis mass reduction, Oocyte Survival, Sperm Survival</td>
<td>50-135*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 25 (+-8)</td>
<td>In-Vitro: Sperm abnormality</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Mice (B6CF1), Zebrafish (Danio rerio), Harvard Swiss Wistar mice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In-Vivo/Ex-Vivo: Reproductive effects (Egg production reduction)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Summary of Tritium RBE for Deterministic ENDPOINTs (HTO)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RBE Range</th>
<th>Population Relevant Deterministic Endpoints</th>
<th>Nº of RBE values</th>
<th>Test Models</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0 - 1.9</td>
<td>Mortality (Embryos, haematopoietic progenitors, germ cells)</td>
<td>25 (18 in vivo, 7 in vitro)</td>
<td>Aquatic invertebrate (Ophryotrocha diadema); Plant (Vicia faba); Fish (Medaka); Mouse (Swiss-Webster, CBA/H, ICR, CF1, C57Bl/6, RFM/Nrs); Embryos (Mouse BC3F1, Golden hamster embryos); Cell lines (Murine L5178Y; murine C3H 10T1/2); Human cells (bone marrow)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0 - 2.9</td>
<td>Mortality (Germ cells, haematopoietic progenitors)</td>
<td>11 (10 in vivo, 1 in vitro)</td>
<td>Mouse (C57Bl/6, RFM/Nrs, Swiss-Webster, DBA2); Fish (medaka); Human cells (bone marrow)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 3.0</td>
<td>Reproductive capacity</td>
<td>1 (in vivo)</td>
<td>Fish (medaka)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Issues in the analysis of RBE Data

• Range of derived RBE values depends on:
  • Endpoint, cell-line, in vivo/in vitro, dose and dose rate.
  • Variability/variation with strains of model mammals (rats, mice etc. (in vivo studies).
  • Variability of cell lines (in vitro studies).
  • Modifications – genetic or otherwise in
    • Cell lines (in vitro),
    • Rats/mice (in vivo),
    • (e.g. genes added or removed to enhance radioresistance or radiosensitivity)
Issues, continued

• Variations / inconsistencies within experimental setups.
• Choice of reference radiation
• High doses/dose rates studies.
• Extrapolating from cells to real populations.
• Linking experimental endpoints to measurement endpoints in the field.
Summary

• Critical evaluation of α and β RBE data have been conducted;
• Current data suggestive of a nominal dose modifying factor
  • ~ 10 for α emitters
• Tritium still under discussion
  • Nominal HTO values ~ 2 to 3
  • OBT data insufficient to recommend a value
• Data are limited by end point and species and therefore judgment is required in extrapolating to whole organism