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1. Introduction
Exposure limits and Indirect effects

- It is stated in the European Directive on artificial optical radiation 2006/25/EC that workers shall not be exposed above the **exposure limit values**, which are based on various ICNIRP guidelines.

In addition…

- … the employer shall give particular attention to **any indirect effects** amongst others such as **temporary blinding** when carrying out the risk assessment.

- Temporary blinding is the result of a dazzling or glaring light in the visual field (“field of view”)

- At the very moment the database for the evaluation of adverse effects on vision caused by flash blindness and degraded color-vision is insufficient as far as new optical sources like lasers and LEDs are concerned.
What does glare mean?

- Glare might be described as light emitting from an optical source, either a natural source like the sun or an artificial one like a lamp including an LED or a laser, with an intensity great enough to reduce a viewer's ability to see or that causes annoyance or discomfort.

  ⇒ disability and discomfort glare

- Glare is normally accompanied by more or less afterimages.
What does afterimage mean?

- An afterimage is the visual impression which appears more or less immediately after the decay of the stimulation at the site where the irradiation took place.

- Parts on the retina which have been exposed by light at a sufficient level, loose its sensitivity.

- Local adaptation results in various interpretations of the visual field as far as brightness, hue and saturation are regarded.
What is already known?

- Under normal illumination conditions people can adapt to changing luminous levels and perform well
- But even with subthreshold exposure glare might impair visual functions more or less
  - dazzling effect of a bright light source in the field of view or
  - afterimage formation, which is mainly the result of photochemical changes and some neural influence from the visual cortex
- During the refractory time, an exposed individual is visually handicapped
Goal

- Determination of the degree and duration of impairment resulting from glare, dazzle, flash-blindness and afterimages:
  - especially recovery duration of visual acuity caused by
    - a laser beam or
    - light from a lamp product like an LED

- Search for functional relations as far as
  - wavelength,
  - optical power and
  - exposure duration are concerned
2. Methods to determine the visual impairment

- Design and engineering of different test set-ups:
  a.) Modified binoptometer with Landolt-C rings to determine the recovery time after irradiation with a high brightness LED (HB-LED) and
  b.) Special computer monitor assisted reading test for the case of laser irradiation.
Test situation during LED exposure and visual acuity measurement
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Test arrangement for laser beam exposure and reading test procedure

- Laser, alignment & attenuator
- Look into the laser beam (He-Ne)
- Neodymium vanadate laser, shutter, apertures and attenuator
- Test person fixed in a chin rest and being irradiated
- Test person in front of the monitor
- Test chart with 4 words (Arial 12 pt) for reading test
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# Test conditions and parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Number of trials</th>
<th>Sum of trials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LASER</td>
<td>Wavelength/nm</td>
<td>632.8</td>
<td>943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>532</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exposure duration/s</td>
<td>0.25, 0.5, 1, 5 and 10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maximum optical power/mW</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| LED   | Colour (Wavelength/nm) | | | |
|       | Red (640 nm) | 735 | | |
|       | Green (520) | 821 | | |
|       | royal blue (460) | 640 | | |
|       | white | 628 | | |
|       | Exposure duration/s | 0.25, 1, 5, and 10 | | |
|       | Maximum optical power/mW | 3 | | |

Different laser test conditions: **10** (2 wavelength and 5 exposure durations)

Total: 45 subjects, 4,091 irradiations, 26 different test conditions
Impression of dazzling light sources

- Helium-neon laser (632.8 nm), and
  - Frequency-doubled Nd:Yttrium Vanadate laser (Nd:YVO$_4$, 532 nm)
3. Experimental results

- **LED:** green (0.12 mW to 1.5 mW, \( t_{\text{exp}} = 1 \text{ s to 8 s} \))
- Visual acuity recovery time \( t_{\text{VA}} \)
  \[
  t_{\text{VA}} / \text{s} \approx 3.7 \cdot \ln(\text{energy}/\mu\text{J}) - 16.2
  \]
- **Laser:** 632.8 nm (\( P = 10 \mu\text{W to 30 }\mu\text{W,} \)
  \( t_{\text{exp}} = 0.25 \text{ s to 10 s} \))
- Afterimage duration \( t_{a} \)
  \[
  t_{a} / \text{s} \approx 50.6 \cdot \ln[(P \cdot t_{\text{exp}})/\mu\text{J}] - 13.4
  \]
- Additional investigations:
  - Impairment threshold determination for other LED colours and for laser irradiation
  - Impairment with Green laser at 532 nm and
  - Comparison with values at 632.8 nm
LED irradiation ("green")

First investigations: 4 test subjects, coarse trials

Next steps: more volunteers, more colors, search for threshold

Acuity time / s = 3.7\cdot\ln(\text{energy}) - 16.2

R^2 = 0.7776
HB-LEDs – exposure duration 0.25 s

Acuity duration vs. optical power for:
- Red
- Green
- Royal Blue
- White
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HB-LEDs – exposure duration: 0.25 s – Threshold
Characteristics for colored LEDs

- Relatively large spread due to individual perception,
- Rapid rise is distinguishable especially for the green LED,
- Green shows the largest impairment time, and
- White LEDs produce larger recovery times than royal blue LEDs, although in principle white LEDs contain a blue LED whose emission is converted in a special phosphor into a broadband radiation in order to result in white via additive colour mixture.
Dose relationship for laser irradiation

Laser irradiation (632.8 nm) in the Fovea (glare angle 0°)

\[ t_a = 50.6 \cdot \ln(P \cdot t_{\text{exp}}) - 13.4 \]

After-image duration \( t_{af} \) in the Fovea:

For class 2:
- 1 mW, 0.25 s

For class 1:
- 0.39 mW, 1 s

\[ t_{af,\text{fovea}} = 2 \cdot \{ t_{af,5^\circ}/s \approx 25.3 \cdot \ln[(P \cdot t_{\text{exp}})/\mu J] - 6.7 \} \approx 50.6 \cdot \ln[(P \cdot t_{\text{exp}})/\mu J] - 13.4 \]
Red vs Green – exposure duration: 0.25 s

632.8 nm, 0.25 s

532 nm, 0.25 s

class 1
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He-Ne Laser (0.25 s): Threshold behavior

0,0025 mJ = 2.5 µJ

0.39 mW

0.039 mW
He-Ne Laser (0.25 s) – Threshold

Threshold at about 10 μW
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SHG Nd-Laser (0.25 s): Visual acuity recovery time

![Graph comparing impairment duration with optical energy](image)

- **Subject 1**: Black dots
- **Subject 2**: Red dots
- **Subject 3**: Green dots
- **Subject 4**: Yellow dots

**Optical Energy / mJ**

- 0.39 mW
- 0.8 mW

**Impairment Duration / s**
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Summary

- Laser and LED have been investigated as far as the capability to impair visual functions is concerned.
- The respective disability threshold as a function of exposure duration has been searched.
- Wavelength-dependent values have been found for both laser and LED radiation.
- Individual differences in the impaired physiological visual functions do exist (up to a factor of about 8!).
- Functional relationships might be used for the derivation of protection limits as far as indirect effects like temporary blinding are concerned.
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