IRPA9

1996 International Congress on Radiation Protection April 14-19,1996 Vienna, Austria

FORM FOR SUBMISSION OF ABSTRACTS (Instructions for preparation on reverse)

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY		
Abstract No.		
Receipt	ii ==	
Author	= 1	
Acceptance		
Mini-Presentation	. 4	
		-

PAPER IIILE DEVELOPING EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT RESOURCES								
AUTHOR(S)	NAME(S)	Dale H. Denham						
SUBMITTING		3	FIRST NAM	E Da	ale		Retired Staff TITLE Scientist	
AFFILIATION	Pacific	Northwest Laboratory	(Retired)	TEL	(509)	586-5661		
STREET	3325 S.	Huntington Street		FAX	(509)	375-2019		
CODE 99	337	CITY Kennewick, WA		COUN	NTRY	USA		
PRESENTING	G AUTHOR	(IF DIFFERENT)						

MAJOR SCIENTIFIC TOPIC NUMBER 4..4.. (see page 7)

ABSTRACT (See instructions overleaf)

To effectively direct an emergency response, an emergency manager needs to be able to quickly obtain the magnitude of an event that involves the release or potential release of radioactive or hazardous materials. By using facility-specific hazardous material and meteorological data, dose calculation methods of the International Commission on Radiological Protection, and the seven emergency classification levels of the International Atomic Energy Agency, an emergency assessment resource manual or summary (EARM or EAS) can be developed as a tool for rapid response to local site emergencies. Such tools provide a summary of the facility's operations, hazardous material inventories, worst-case consequence analyses (maximum impact distances) for all probable events, and distances to potentially impacted populations. These manuals/summaries allow the emergency manager to make immediate, conservative, and accurate decisions during an emergency and also provide an excellent pre-planning tool for development of exercise scenarios.

This paper describes the process and input materials used to prepare these emergency management resources for widely divergent facilities and sites within the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) complex. Their implementation at the local sites and at DOE Headquarters during exercises has rapidly aided the evaluation of questions such as: What are the upper bound consequences to be expected from an event? What are the consequences most likely to be? What else can or could happen?